

Ingram, - Ciman ~~SECRET~~ 1430 4 June 56
 Winant } ODM ~~SECRET~~ 3025 New State
 Dr Morgan }
 Rensert Comm
 Van Sant OSD
 Hale MPAC
 Blane Tr
 Haght ICA
 [Redacted] } CIA

25X1A9a

R. [Redacted] (Comm) We have been working directly with ODM + have developed directives on export and commodity controls. What is WBI going to do?

Hale: Adm D. thought his assignment from ODM to ICA was one which FRAC should get in order to initiating action steps in ea. dept. + be sure word was given if needed. But need more mostly to initiating actions + if E. say agencies needed to coordinate it would be done. Then FRAC would report to ODM that this was a collation of steps agreed on inter-agency.

SECRET

Comm
R ~~to~~ Can't give you whole Commerce
action inventory; ~~there~~ is data live
only found two

I take We aren't interested in US
unilateral actions, but to
extent that Comm inventory
includes new - 20 day
subjects, ~~that~~ more not
asking WG III to coordinate.

Zuckerman Let's look at 12/15 memo
to D/L from M. M. M. listing
action steps - We are
concerned with 1st 30 days.

Morgan: What ODM wants from State
is as follows: I'm not yet
clear how this ties to WG III.

SECRET

ODM has heads of all defense agencies.
Wicks
McKay
W. Kaligari
etc. } Def. Mob. Bd
DMB

This group has set up 4 different
Plans B, C, D, D Minus.

~~SECRET~~

D Minus is worst situation + covers US action in event all out atom attack in Contn. USA now with no warning.

Dog : differs only in a ~~lot~~ more warning time + a govt. war org. set up in advance of attack + some war agencies in being.

Charlie : Gen'l mobilization without attack on CLVSA

Baker Lighter : maybe removal on border attacks in Korea etc.

1st emphasis on D Minus because hardest. June 56 will test this plan as did June 55 alert.

Morgan is from Materials area ODM. Others include Manpower, Stabilization, etc. The problems in Materials are clearest + ∴ this is.

D Minus Plan is approved by
DMB + assumed Pres. has
full legal authority now +
lack of standby legislation
not considered important at
int. Infinite authority +
funds assumed available.

∴ D Minus does not
assume any legislation
needed.

Re Materials aspects this plan,
various agencies need to
advise ODM.

OAT report on stockpiles
Agencies " " Types damage.
e.g. copper mines; Interior
reports.

∴ we'd issue general
regulation promising to
buy all strat. materials
for 3 mos. Purpose: assure
continuity of production.

Re foreign procurement in
West Hemisphere, some Regulation

The State, it was assumed
in D Minus that State, including
ICA, would handle all foreign
problems. But this affects
foreign materials availability.
We need arrangements with all
Allied supply authorities to
maintain pipelines, for clearing
log. load out, ^{store} ~~store~~
stocks, make any commitments
possible quite aside from
specific authority

Went: We don't want to tie too
closely to NATO in detailed
plans because the assumption
of kind of war is greater.

Morgan: We have to come to State to
say: "Tell us what you've
done + are doing + what
instructions."

Zuckerman: How long is war
projected in D Minus?

Morgan: Our stockpile assumes

now assumed in D Minus

situation with 20-30 major cities
blown up.

To survive we'll need all
of everything we can get anyhow.
Instructions should tell
all our people ahead to
preempt anything available
in, this is not worked out
~~that~~ with our Transport people
yet, because under
D minus it would take a
while to communicate etc.

in D minus people on
spot must take own
initiative ~~and~~ not
straighten it all out later.

If shipping people can
issue overriding orders. They
might take precedence. I'm
only speaking re Materials
procurement.

Myron: These plans have been held
as SECRET that plans aren't
available for general dissemination.

Winn: OMM is monetary. Before

SECRET

should check with ODM.

Haght: What intra-State coordination now? I don't know any intra-State mechanism for int. assignment.

Tuchman: Don't know.

Morgan: Our assignment from ODM is to Hurler, after FOA filled.

Haght But State's order said to continue dealing with ICA or discontinue.

Hall Have to see order to interpret

Morgan In Materials field ICA never had important functions in important producing countries.

At highest level, wartime organs. has given Sec St respon. for all these ^{foreign} activities. Maybe there is confusion but ODM has to deal with State + Sec St. State has to clarify.

Hall : DeL. T/R are memo to ICA
from ODM telling him to
do ~~some~~

I think DeLong should
re-examine his request on
WB III + see whether he
should work thru
Hollister + State.

I think that ICA
is relieved from its st assignment
from ODM by the later facts
given by Morgan as Buller
being coordinator.

Morgan agrees that many
unclear issues.

Myrt: Maybe Monahan + Savage (State)
have this worked out + we
just don't know this

Gus: y State were to seek ~~this~~
WB III consideration, this
matter should go further. I think
State would.

Morgan
~~State~~: Staffing, Production, Communi-
cations are going to be

are so jumbled up re intra-US
situation that Materials,
which has extra-US problems,
is probably ahead of them.

Hayes How would State coordinate
an instr'n re many of
these subjects

Hayes DMB is place for
final coordination.

Ques: In preparing instrns. under
the assumptions given given
should with state other
assumptions?

Morgan A full set written
instructions exists for DMB use.
Savage (St.) is the lead
for gas to procure. These
assumptions do not help you
much in this planning.

The foreign side of this
whole picture has been
weak. We have been

unwilling to talk to NATO
availability for + life source to

its hard to do more than
~~we~~ give black check

Pike is OSD Rep. DAIB
 Gen Paul (Ret) ODM stop
 in ODM Plans is aided
 by Brig Genl Hugland (sp. WAF),
 they don't sit on DAIB
 but rather has direct tie to
 JCS.

Gus: State wouldn't be looked
 to to ask WC III to
 consider matters as NZ-
 list really in COMM
 jurisdiction?

Morgan Yes, ~~under~~ but COMM
 might decide coordinates.
 And Interior has to control
 metals supply - they'd have
 to come to H. re Chilean
 copper, for ex.

Morgan Can ODM have states response
by 15 Jan? Just brief outline?

Gus Will try.

Morgan ODM Will test Plan C in April.
(Not leaving Wash.)

(Morgan leaves)

Winant:

Is St. sending out letters to
field now? Or just developing
samples?

Gus

Don't know; only know people
who have handled in concrete
matters. ~~have~~ have
given it to Savage. Don't
know what has happened
beyond this.

Winant:

Gus ODM looks to St. to
do major coordinating job re
foreign aspects.

Conclusion

Hale/Haght + Gus will
investigate with seniors. Then
will check w. Comm on its relation.

Pending Pass, the F.W. item
withdrawn from active agenda
WB III.

#

Item II Agenda (Penetration)

Jus. Calls for comments:

Hayes: EDAE has the confident people

Quirk:

25X1A9a

"CIA accepts paper basically re lack confidence with" [Personal opinion that paper needs give more guidance to EC by deeper analysis.]

Would regret more committees proliferating. Maybe Dodge Committee confident.

Cham

We think we need an indep. group to deal with problem. We prefer OCB to Dodge Council. Doubt problem explained enough at this level to be moved up yet.

Van Sant

Has no agency position. Generally agree with paper.

-19-1/4/66

MOAC disappointed that paper
didn't take positive view of
alternatives for EVAC.

MOAC hasn't been able
to revise due other commitments,
but Jpr present record
draft at next meeting setting
forth area where EVAC
could function + where
it couldn't.

Adm D. would like
EVAC agree on paper EVAC
T/R + pass it to other
higher groups as EVAC
Jpr.

We're also willing to
convert present paper more to
EC.

Our draft would try to
show area where EVAC
couldn't handle; don't know
what we'd want to say about
what a higher level group
should do, if existing.

No NSC order directly
on this subject; would
refer to it peripherally.

SECRET

Holl: The study ~~very~~ more
SSB makes ~~should~~ come
to WG III + its Panel
+ hence to WG IV for
analysis of impact on
ec. dev. program + other
programmes which we
ought to ~~also~~ right.

~~Right~~ We need a continuing
overall look.

Holl: "For Rec & Action in NE
2 and as of 25 XI 55"
Annex report for Hollister.

I'm not saying WG III should
do this job; but if in
the Dev. rep. there was a
continuing study of ec.
activities of SSB it would be
very valuable.

Gas: ~~May~~ Further checking in
past week. Goodland was

~~dropping a paper on this~~
General reply. 1st draft

^{new} suggested a ^{group} being a ^{hand} in US action; on gog desks
I found they ^{very} reluctant re more
committees. They are represented
in ICB. We now are taking
current ad the action.

gog desks would like
if US govt had a continuing
collation was maintained
of all info on matter,
including various Sov. Press
~~part~~ aspects of all forms,
plus story of what US
doing in defense, in pol,
econ, USA etc areas.

Gog desks would like
also see this draft paper
from Prochnow to Hornet.
a study of Sov. Press
trends to date, appraising
adversary W reactions to date
to date. This might be
put into Planning Hogg
to coord. + get agency comments

i. I'm not sure will
helpful distinct the possible
functions of the committee:
not one to try to coordinate
ops. but to review what

I tried to be factual in this paper. We in W6-III are not operators full time. To review W action overall would need larger group with new blood.

But ~~the~~ does anyone disagree with paper that W6 III now supervisors are competent to participate in determination and for actions?

Walt Disagree re supervisor.

W6 III should ^{reword} formulate for FARE new TIR for NSC to replace "decreasing reliance" words of 152/3.

Yours to Walt: Please prepare redraft. Will try to circulate before meeting.